

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, during the consideration of H.R. 4762, legislation to require Section 527 disclosure, my vote was not recorded on final passage.

Had I been present, I would have voted "aye" on Rollcall 341.

# ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). Pursuant to House Resolution 532 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 4733.

□ 0010

## IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 4733) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, with Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, the amendment of the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. RYUN) had been disposed of and the bill was open for amendment on page 39, line 19.

The Clerk will read the final lines of the bill.

The Clerk read as follows:

This Act may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2001".

Mr. VISCLOSKEY. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, the hour is late because many hours ago we started the final energy and water bill under the guidance of the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD). As we all know in this Chamber, the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD) has served all of us, his country and his family well, both in the military service, local and Federal service. I think as we conclude consideration of a well-done work product, which we have come to expect from the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD) day in and day out, that we owe the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD) our appreciation and a round of applause.

The CHAIRMAN. Are there any other amendments to the bill?

If not, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) having resumed the Chair, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4733) making appro-

priations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 532, he reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 407, nays 19, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 342]

YEAS—407

Abercrombie  
Ackerman  
Aderholt  
Allen  
Archer  
Armey  
Baca  
Bachus  
Baird  
Baker  
Baldacci  
Baldwin  
Ballenger  
Barcia  
Barr  
Barrett (NE)  
Barrett (WI)  
Bartlett  
Barton  
Bass  
Bateman  
Becerra  
Bentsen  
Bereuter  
Berkley  
Berman  
Berry  
Biggett  
Bilbray  
Bilirakis  
Bishop  
Blagojevich  
Bliley  
Blumenauer  
Blunt  
Boehlert  
Boehner  
Bonilla  
Bonior  
Bono  
Borski  
Boswell  
Boucher  
Boyd  
Brady (PA)  
Brady (TX)  
Brown (FL)  
Brown (OH)  
Bryant  
Burr  
Burton  
Buyer  
Callahan  
Calvert  
Camp  
Campbell  
Canady  
Cannon

Capps  
Capuano  
Cardin  
Carson  
Chabot  
Chambliss  
Chenoweth-Hage  
Clayton  
Clement  
Clyburn  
Coble  
Coburn  
Collins  
Combest  
Condit  
Conyers  
Cooksey  
Costello  
Cox  
Coyne  
Cramer  
Crane  
Crowley  
Cubin  
Cummings  
Cunningham  
Danner  
Davis (FL)  
Davis (IL)  
Davis (VA)  
Deal  
DeFazio  
DeGette  
DeLauro  
DeLay  
DeMint  
Deutsch  
Diaz-Balart  
Dickey  
Dicks  
Dingell  
Dixon  
Dooley  
Doolittle  
Doyle  
Dreier  
Duncan  
Dunn  
Edwards  
Ehlers  
Ehrlich  
Emerson  
Engel  
English  
Eshoo  
Etheridge  
Evans  
Everett

Ewing  
Farr  
Fattah  
Filner  
Fletcher  
Foley  
Forbes  
Ford  
Fossella  
Fowler  
Frank (MA)  
Franks (NJ)  
Frelinghuysen  
Frost  
Gallegly  
Ganske  
Gedensson  
Gekas  
Gephardt  
Gilchrest  
Gillmor  
Gilman  
Gonzalez  
Goode  
Goodling  
Gordon  
Goss  
Graham  
Granger  
Green (TX)  
Green (WI)  
Greenwood  
Gutierrez  
Gutknecht  
Hall (OH)  
Hall (TX)  
Hansen  
Hastings (FL)  
Hastings (WA)  
Hayes  
Hayworth  
Hefley  
Herger  
Hill (IN)  
Hill (MT)  
Hilleary  
Hilliard  
Hinchey  
Hinojosa  
Hobson  
Hoeffel  
Hoekstra  
Holden  
Holt  
Hooley  
Horn  
Hostettler  
Houghton

Hoyer  
Hulshof  
Hunter  
Hutchinson  
Hyde  
Isakson  
Istook  
Jackson (IL)  
Jackson-Lee (TX)  
Jefferson  
Jenkins  
John  
Johnson (CT)  
Johnson, Sam  
Jones (NC)  
Jones (OH)  
Kanjorski  
Kaptur  
Kasich  
Kelly  
Kennedy  
Kildee  
Kilpatrick  
Kind (WI)  
King (NY)  
Kingston  
Klecza  
Klink  
Knollenberg  
Kolbe  
Kucinich  
Kuykendall  
LaFalce  
LaHood  
Lampson  
Lantos  
Largent  
Larson  
Latham  
LaTourette  
Lazio  
Leach  
Lee  
Levin  
Lewis (CA)  
Lewis (GA)  
Lewis (KY)  
Linder  
Lipinski  
LoBiondo  
Lofgren  
Lowey  
Lucas (KY)  
Lucas (OK)  
Maloney (CT)  
Maloney (NY)  
Manzullo  
Mascara  
Matsui  
McCarthy (MO)  
McCarthy (NY)  
McCollum  
McCrery  
McDermott  
McGovern  
McHugh  
McInnis  
McIntyre  
McKeon  
McKinney  
McNulty  
Meehan  
Meek (FL)  
Meeks (NY)  
Menendez  
Metcalfe  
Mica

Millender-McDonald  
Miller (FL)  
Miller, Gary  
Miller, George  
Minge  
Mink  
Moakley  
Mollohan  
Moore  
Moran (KS)  
Moran (VA)  
Morella  
Murtha  
Myrick  
Nadler  
Napolitano  
Neal  
Nethercutt  
Ney  
Northup  
Norwood  
Nussle  
Oberstar  
Obey  
Oliver  
Ortiz  
Ose  
Owens  
Oxley  
Packard  
Pallone  
Pascarell  
Pastor  
Payne  
Pease  
Pelosi  
Peterson (PA)  
Petri  
Phelps  
Pickering  
Pickett  
Pitts  
Pombo  
Pomeroy  
Porter  
Portman  
Price (NC)  
Pryce (OH)  
Quinn  
Radanovich  
Rahall  
Rangel  
Regula  
Reyes  
Reynolds  
Riley  
Rivers  
Rodriguez  
Roemer  
Rogan  
Rogers  
Rohrabacher  
Ros-Lehtinen  
Rothman  
Roukema  
Roybal-Allard  
Rush  
Ryan (WI)  
Ryun (KS)  
Sabo  
Salmon  
Sanchez  
Sanders  
Sandin  
Sawyer  
Saxton  
Scarborough  
Schakowsky

Scott  
Serrano  
Sessions  
Shadegg  
Shaw  
Sherman  
Sherwood  
Shimkus  
Shows  
Shuster  
Simpson  
Sisisky  
Skeen  
Skeltan  
Slaughter  
Smith (MI)  
Smith (NJ)  
Smith (TX)  
Snyder  
Souder  
Spence  
Spratt  
Stabenow  
Stark  
Stenholm  
Strickland  
Stump  
Stupak  
Sununu  
Sweeney  
Talent  
Tanner  
Tauscher  
Tauzin  
Taylor (MS)  
Taylor (NC)  
Terry  
Thomas  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (MS)  
Thornberry  
Thune  
Thurman  
Tiahrt  
Tierney  
Toomey  
Towns  
Trafigant  
Turner  
Udall (CO)  
Udall (NM)  
Upton  
Velázquez  
Visclosky  
Vitter  
Walden  
Walsh  
Wamp  
Waters  
Watkins  
Watt (NC)  
Watts (OK)  
Waxman  
Weiner  
Weldon (FL)  
Weldon (PA)  
Weller  
Wexler  
Weygand  
Whitfield  
Wicker  
Wilson  
Wise  
Wolf  
Woolsey  
Wu  
Wynn  
Young (FL)

NAYS—19

Andrews  
Castle  
Doggett  
Gibbons  
Goodlatte  
Inslee  
Johnson, E. B.

Luther  
Paul  
Peterson (MN)  
Ramstad  
Royce  
Sanford  
Schaffer

Sensenbrenner  
Shays  
Smith (WA)  
Stearns  
Tancredo

NOT VOTING—8

Clay  
Cook  
Delahunt

Markey  
Martinez  
McIntosh

Vento  
Young (AK)

□ 0027

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

**REPORT ON EXPANDED THREAT REDUCTION INITIATIVE—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 263)**

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

*To the Congress of the United States:*

Enclosed is a report to the Congress on the Expanded Threat Reduction Initiative, as required by section 1309 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65).

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.  
THE WHITE HOUSE, June 27, 2000.

**REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1598**

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor to the bill H.R. 1598, the Patent Fairness Act of 1999.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

**PERMISSION FOR CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET TO INSERT COMMUNICATIONS IN THE RECORD**

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. KASICH) be permitted to insert Committee on the Budget communications into the RECORD at this point.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

**REVISIONS TO ALLOCATION FOR HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS**

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, in accordance with section 218 of H. Con. Res. 290, I hereby submit for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD adjustments to the 302(a) allocation for the House Committee on Agriculture, set

forth in H. Rept. 106-577, to reflect \$5.5 billion in additional new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for both fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for both fiscal year 2001 and for the period of fiscal years 2001 through 2005.

Section 218 of H. Con. Res. 290 authorizes the Chairman of the House Budget Committee to increase the 302(a) allocation of the Committee on Agriculture for a conference report on a bill that provides assistance for producers of program crops and specialty crops. Under the terms of section 218, the adjustments is in the amount of budget authority provided by that bill for the specified purpose but may not exceed \$5.5 billion in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2001.

This adjustment is for the conference report accompanying H.R. 2559 (H. Rept. 106-300).

If you have any questions, please contact Jim Bates of my staff at 6-7270.

**STATUS REPORT ON CURRENT SPENDING LEVELS OF ON-BUDGET SPENDING AND REVENUES FOR FY 2000 AND THE 5-YEAR PERIOD FY 2000 THROUGH FY 2004**

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, to facilitate application of sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, I am transmitting a status report on the current levels of on-budget spending and revenues for fiscal year 2000 and for the 5-year period of fiscal year 2000 through fiscal year 2004.

The term "current level" refers to the amounts of spending and revenues estimated for each fiscal year based on laws enacted or awaiting the President's signature as of June 15, 2000.

The first table in the report compares the current level of total budget authority, outlays, and revenues with the aggregate levels set by H. Con. Res. 290. This comparison is needed to implement section 311(a) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the budget resolution's aggregate levels. The table does not show budget authority and outlays for years after fiscal year 2000.

The second table compares the current levels of budget authority and outlays of each direct spending committee with the "section 302(a)" allocations for discretionary action made under H. Con. Res. 290 for fiscal year 2000 and fiscal years 2000 through 2004. "Discretionary action" refers to legislation enacted after adoption of the budget resolution. This comparison is needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the section 302(a) discretionary action allocation of new budget authority for the committee that reported the measure. It is also

needed to implement section 311(b), which exempts committees that comply with their allocations from the point of order under section 311(a).

The third table compares the current levels of discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2000 with the revised "section 302(a)" sub-allocations of discretionary budget authority and outlays among Appropriations subcommittees. This comparison is also needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act because the point of order under that section also applies to measures that would breach the applicable section 302(b) sub-allocation.

The fourth table compares discretionary appropriations to the levels provided by section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. Section 251 requires that, if at the end of a session discretionary spending in any category exceeds the limits set forth in section 251(c) (as adjusted pursuant to provisions of section 251(b)), there shall be a sequestration of funds within that category to bring spending within the established limits. This table is provided for information purposes only. Determination of the need for a sequestration is based on the report of the President required by section 254.

**REPORT TO THE SPEAKER FROM THE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET—STATUS OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2000 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ADOPTED IN H. CON. RES. 290**

(Reflecting action completed as of June 15, 2000—On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal year 2000	Fiscal year 2000–2004
Appropriate level (as amended):		
Budget authority .....	1,471,750	( <sup>1</sup> )
Outlays .....	1,453,390	( <sup>1</sup> )
Revenues .....	1,465,500	7,768,100
Current level:		
Budget authority .....	1,465,562	( <sup>1</sup> )
Outlays .....	1,44,558	( <sup>1</sup> )
Revenues .....	1,465,492	7,871,246
Current level over (+)/under (–) appropriate level:		
Budget authority .....	–6,188	( <sup>1</sup> )
Outlays .....	–8,832	( <sup>1</sup> )
Revenues .....	–8	103,146

<sup>1</sup> Not applicable because annual appropriations Acts for Fiscal Year 2001 through 2004 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

**BUDGET AUTHORITY**

Enactment of any measure providing new budget authority for FY 2000 of more than \$6,188,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2000 budget authority to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 290.

**OUTLAYS**

Enactment of any measure providing new outlays for FY 2000 of more than \$8,832,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2000 outlays to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 290.

**REVENUES**

Enactment of any measure resulting in any revenue loss for FY 2000 through 2004 in excess of \$103,146,000,000 (if not already included in the current level) would cause revenues to fall below the appropriate levels set by H. Con. Res. 290.